



RECEIVED  
JAN 20 2004  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Michael Simons & Youhe Gao  
Serial No. : 09/276,868  
Filed : March 26, 1999  
For : "METHOD FOR PR-39 PEPTIDE REGULATED  
STIMULATION OF ANGIOGENESIS"  
Examiners : Chih-Min Kam, Christopher Low & Karen C.  
Carlson  
Group Art Unit : 1653  
Attorney's Docket No. : BIS-043

\*\*\*\*\*

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE SUBMITTED PURSUANT  
TO 37 C.F.R.1.114 IN SUPPORT OF THE  
REQUEST FOR CONTINUING EXAMINATION

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Mail Stop: RCE  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

As part of the documents supporting the instant Request For Continuing Examination filed herewith in the U.S. Patent Office, applicants respectfully present their substantive Response in full to the most recently received (final) Official Action mailed July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2003, as extended through January 21<sup>st</sup>, 2004, for the above-identified application.

As a matter of substantive right, therefore, applicants hereby amend independent claims 11 and 15 respectively; and retain previously pending dependent claims 12-14 respectively.

In addition, in view of the explicit holdings rendered by the U.S. Supreme Court in the *Festo* case recently decided on May 28, 2002 [*Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kabushiki Co. Ltd. et al.*, 62 U.S.P.Q.2d 1705 (2002)] concerning the applicability of the legal doctrine of equivalents to amended claim language, applicants now present a formal attestation and affirmation of their legal position and substantive rights: Applicants do not now surrender for any reason, nor have previously surrendered at any time or for any reason during the prosecution of the instant application, any inventive subject matter which is or could be expected to be a particular equivalent of the invention defined by the language of the amended claims then pending by a person ordinarily skilled in this art; and that no presumption of estoppel, either in law or equity, exists or pertains now or at any time previously as a potential bar to the application of the doctrine of equivalence for any and all possible embodiments which may be found to be encompassed now or in the future by the language of the amended claims proffered now or at any time previously for examination to the U.S. Patent Office. Accordingly, applicants affirmatively rebut and explicitly dispute any presumption that the doctrine of equivalence for the language of the amended claims has been surrendered or is not in full force for any reason and at any time during the prosecution for any and all amended claims prosecuted for the instant application.

Also, in accordance with the revised amendment practice (which became compulsory on July 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003), applicants now present a listing of all the claims in ascending numerical order which were ever submitted for review; and include an identification of those cancelled or withdrawn claims which were previously submitted as well as the full text of the claims currently pending in the instant application. The listing of all claims and the full text of the presently pending claims begins on the immediately following page.